

THE G-CONVEX FUNCTIONS BASED ON THE NONLINEAR EXPECTATIONS DEFINED BY G-BSDES*

KUN HE

hekun@dhu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics

Donghua University

2999 North Renmin Rd., Songjiang

Shanghai 201620, P.R. China

ABSTRACT. In this paper, generalizing the definition of G -convex functions defined by Peng [9] during the construction of G -expectations and related properties, we define a group of G -convex functions based on the Backward Stochastic Differential Equations driven by G -Brownian motions.

Key words: G -expectations, G -BSDEs, G -convex functions, Nonlinear expectations

AMS 2000 subject classifications: 60H10, 60H30

1. INTRODUCTION

As we all know, Jensen's inequality is an important result in the theory of linear expectations. For nonlinear expectations, Jiang [1, 2, 3] talked about a type of g -expectation and found out that if this group of g -expectations satisfy the related Jensen's inequality, then the corresponding generator g should satisfies the propositions of positive homogenous and subadditivity. After this in 2010, Jia and Peng [4] defined a new group of functions as g -convexity and gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a $\mathbb{C}^2$ function being a g -convex function.

After the construction of the G -expectations by Peng's work from 2005 to 2010 [5, 6, 7, 8, 9], another series of work [10, 11, 12] aims at solving an opening problem, a G -martingale M which can be decomposed into a sum of a symmetric G -martingale $\bar{M}$ and a decreasing G -martingale K , and this problem was solved by [13] in 2012. Then Hu, Ji, Peng and Song defined a new type of Backward Stochastic Differential Equation driven by G -Brownian motion (G -BSDE) [14], proved a related comparison theorem and defined the group of nonlinear expectations by the solutions of G -BSDEs [15]. Based on their definition of this group of nonlinear expectations and the related comparison theorem of G -BSDEs, He and Hu [16] proved a representation theorem for this group of nonlinear expectations and proved some related equivalent conditions between the generator and related nonlinear expectations. In this paper, we will talk about the G -convex function, defined in Peng [9], under the framework of the nonlinear expectations defined by the G -BSDEs [14]. In section 2, we recall some fundamental definitions and results about G -expectations and G -BSDEs. In section 3, we will prove our main result, giving the equivalent condition of G -convex function under the framework of G -BSDEs.

*The Project-sponsored by NSFC (11301068), NSFC (11171062), NSFC(11371362) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities No. 2232014D3-08.

2. PRELIMINARY

Let us recall some notations for the related spaces of random variables, definitions and results in the construction of G-Brownian motions and G-expectations. The readers may refer to [6, 7, 8, 9, 14]. Throughout the paper, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote $|x| = \sqrt{x \cdot x}$ and $\langle x, x \rangle = x \cdot x$.

Definition 2.1. Let Ω be a given set and let $\mathcal{H}$ be a vector lattice of real valued functions defined on Ω , namely $c \in \mathcal{H}$ for each constant c and $|X| \in \mathcal{H}$ if $X \in \mathcal{H}$. $\mathcal{H}$ is considered as the space of random variables. A sublinear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is a functional $\hat{\mathbb{E}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties: for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

- (a) *Monotonicity:* If $X \geq Y$ then $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] \geq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$;
- (b) *Constant preservation:* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[c] = c$;
- (c) *Sub-additivity:* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X + Y] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$;
- (d) *Positive homogeneity:* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\lambda X] = \lambda \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]$ for each $\lambda \geq 0$. $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called a sublinear expectation space.

Definition 2.2. Let X_1 and X_2 be two n -dimensional random vectors defined respectively in sublinear expectation spaces $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \hat{\mathbb{E}}_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{H}_2, \hat{\mathbb{E}}_2)$. They are identically distributed, denoted by $X_1 \stackrel{d}{=} X_2$, if $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_1[\varphi(X_1)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_2[\varphi(X_2)]$, for all $\varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the space of bounded and Lipschitz functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.3. In a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$, a random vector $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$, $Y_i \in \mathcal{H}$, is said to be independent of another random vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$, $X_i \in \mathcal{H}$ under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]$, denoted by $Y \perp X$, if for every test function $\varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ we have $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X, Y)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x, Y)]_{x=X}]$.

Definition 2.4. (*G-normal distribution*) A d -dimensional random vector $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ in a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called *G-normally distributed* if for each $a, b \geq 0$ we have

$$aX + b\bar{X} \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}X,$$

where $\bar{X}$ is an independent copy of X , i.e., $\bar{X} \stackrel{d}{=} X$ and $\bar{X} \perp X$. Here the letter G denotes the function

$$G(A) := \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\langle AX, X \rangle] : \mathbb{S}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

where $\mathbb{S}_d$ denotes the collection of $d \times d$ symmetric matrices.

Peng [8] showed that $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ is G -normally distributed if and only if for each $\varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $u(t, x) := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x + \sqrt{t}X)]$, $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$, is the solution of the following G -heat equation:

$$\partial_t u - G(D_x^2 u) = 0, \quad u(0, x) = \varphi(x).$$

The function $G(\cdot) : \mathbb{S}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a monotonic, sublinear mapping on $\mathbb{S}_d$ and $G(A) = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\langle AX, X \rangle] \leq \frac{1}{2} |A| \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|X|^2]$ implies that there exists a bounded, convex and closed subset $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{S}_d^+$ such that

$$G(A) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{tr}[\gamma A],$$

where $\mathbb{S}_d^+$ denotes the collection of non-negative elements in $\mathbb{S}_d$.

In this paper, we only consider non-degenerate G -normal distribution, i.e., there exists some $\underline{\sigma}^2 > 0$ such that $G(A) - G(B) \geq \underline{\sigma}^2 \text{tr}[A - B]$ for any $A \geq B$.

Definition 2.5. *i) Let $\Omega = C_0^d(\mathbb{R}^+)$ denote the space of $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ with $\omega_0 = 0$ and let $B_t(\omega) = \omega_t$ be the canonical process. Set*

$$L_{ip}(\Omega) := \{\varphi(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n}) : n \geq 1, t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, \infty), \varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times n})\}.$$

Let $G : \mathbb{S}_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a given monotonic and sublinear function. G -expectation is a sublinear expectation defined by

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \tilde{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(\sqrt{t_1 - t_0}\xi_1, \dots, \sqrt{t_m - t_{m-1}}\xi_m)],$$

for all $X = \varphi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_m} - B_{t_{m-1}})$, where $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$ are identically distributed d -dimensional G -normally distributed random vectors in a sublinear expectation space $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}})$ such that ξ_{i+1} is independent of $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_i)$ for every $i = 1, \dots, m-1$. The corresponding canonical process $B_t = (B_t^i)_{i=1}^d$ is called a G -Brownian motion.

ii) For each fixed $t \in [0, \infty)$, the conditional G -expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t$ for $\xi = \varphi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_m} - B_{t_{m-1}}) \in L_{ip}(\Omega)$, without loss of generality we suppose $t_i = t$, is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t[\varphi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_m} - B_{t_{m-1}})] \\ = \psi(B_{t_1} - B_{t_0}, B_{t_2} - B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_i) = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_i, B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i}, \dots, B_{t_m} - B_{t_{m-1}})].$$

For each fixed $T > 0$, we set

$$L_{ip}(\Omega_T) := \{\varphi(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n}) : n \geq 1, t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, T], \varphi \in C_{b.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times n})\}.$$

For each $p \geq 1$, we denote by $L_G^p(\Omega)$ (resp. $L_G^p(\Omega_T)$) the completion of $L_{ip}(\Omega)$ (resp. $L_{ip}(\Omega_T)$) under the norm $\|\xi\|_{p,G} = (\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\xi|^p])^{1/p}$. It is easy to check that $L_G^q(\Omega) \subset L_G^p(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq p \leq q$ and $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t[\cdot]$ can be extended continuously to $L_G^1(\Omega)$.

For each fixed $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $B_t^{\mathbf{a}} = \langle \mathbf{a}, B_t \rangle$ is a 1-dimensional $G_{\mathbf{a}}$ -Brownian motion, where $G_{\mathbf{a}}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^T}^2 \alpha^+ - \sigma_{-\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^T}^2 \alpha^-)$, $\sigma_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^T}^2 = 2G(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^T)$, $\sigma_{-\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^T}^2 = -2G(-\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^T)$. Let $\pi_t^N = \{t_0^N, \dots, t_N^N\}$, $N = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of partitions of $[0, t]$ such that $\mu(\pi_t^N) = \max\{|t_{i+1}^N - t_i^N| : i = 0, \dots, N-1\} \rightarrow 0$, the quadratic variation process of $B^{\mathbf{a}}$ is defined by

$$\langle B^{\mathbf{a}} \rangle_t = \lim_{\mu(\pi_t^N) \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (B_{t_{j+1}^N}^{\mathbf{a}} - B_{t_j^N}^{\mathbf{a}})^2.$$

For each fixed $\mathbf{a}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the mutual variation process of $B^{\mathbf{a}}$ and $B^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}}$ is defined by

$$\langle B^{\mathbf{a}}, B^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \rangle_t = \frac{1}{4}[\langle B^{\mathbf{a}+\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \rangle_t - \langle B^{\mathbf{a}-\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \rangle_t].$$

Definition 2.6. *For fixed $T > 0$, let $M_G^0(0, T)$ be the collection of processes in the following form: for a given partition $\{t_0, \dots, t_N\} = \pi_T$ of $[0, T]$,*

$$\eta_t(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \xi_j I_{[t_j, t_{j+1})}(t),$$

where $\xi_j \in L_{ip}(\Omega_{t_j})$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1$. For $p \geq 1$, we denote by $H_G^p(0, T)$, $M_G^p(0, T)$ the completion of $M_G^0(0, T)$ under the norms $\|\eta\|_{H_G^p} = \{\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\int_0^T |\eta_s|^2 ds)^{p/2}]\}^{1/p}$, $\|\eta\|_{M_G^p} = \{\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_0^T |\eta_s|^p ds]\}^{1/p}$ respectively.

For each $\eta \in M_G^1(0, T)$, we can define the integrals $\int_0^T \eta_t dt$ and $\int_0^T \eta_t d\langle B^{\mathbf{a}}, B^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \rangle_t$ for each $\mathbf{a}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. For each $\eta \in H_G^p(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $p \geq 1$, we can define Itô's integral $\int_0^T \eta_t dB_t$. In the following $\langle B \rangle$ denotes the quadratic variation of B (refer to [9, 14, 13]).

Let $S_G^0(0, T) = \{h(t, B_{t_1 \wedge t}, \dots, B_{t_n \wedge t}) : t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, T], h \in C_{b, Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})\}$. For $p \geq 1$ and $\eta \in S_G^0(0, T)$, set $\|\eta\|_{S_G^p} = \{\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\eta_t|^p]\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Denote by $S_G^p(0, T)$ the completion of $S_G^0(0, T)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{S_G^p}$.

We consider the following type of G -BSDEs (in this paper we always use Einstein convention):

$$(1) \quad Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) d\langle B \rangle_s - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s - (K_T - K_t),$$

where

$$g(t, \omega, y, z), f(t, \omega, y, z) : [0, T] \times \Omega_T \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

satisfy the following properties:

- (H1) There exists some $\beta > 1$ such that for any $y, z, g(\cdot, \cdot, y, z), f(\cdot, \cdot, y, z) \in M_G^\beta(0, T)$.
- (H2) There exists some $L > 0$ such that

$$|g(t, y, z) - g(t, y', z')| + |f(t, y, z) - f(t, y', z')| \leq L(|y - y'| + |z - z'|).$$

For simplicity, we denote by $\mathfrak{S}_G^\alpha(0, T)$ the collection of processes (Y, Z, K) such that $Y \in S_G^\alpha(0, T)$, $Z \in H_G^\alpha(0, T; \mathbb{R})$, K is a decreasing G -martingale with $K_0 = 0$ and $K_T \in L_G^\alpha(\Omega_T)$.

Definition 2.7. Let $\xi \in L_G^\beta(\Omega_T)$, g and f satisfy (H1) and (H2) for some $\beta > 1$. A triplet of processes (Y, Z, K) is called a solution of equation (1) if for some $1 < \alpha \leq \beta$ the following properties hold:

- (a) $(Y, Z, K) \in \mathfrak{S}_G^\alpha(0, T)$;
- (b) $Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T g(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) d\langle B \rangle_s - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s - (K_T - K_t)$.

Lemma 2.1. ([14]) Assume that $\xi \in L_G^\beta(\Omega_T)$ and g, f satisfy (H1) and (H2) for some $\beta > 1$. Then equation (1) has a unique solution (Y, Z, K) . Moreover, for any $1 < \alpha < \beta$ we have $Y \in S_G^\alpha(0, T)$, $Z \in H_G^\alpha(0, T; \mathbb{R})$ and $K_T \in L_G^\alpha(\Omega_T)$.

In this paper, we also need the following assumptions for G -BSDE (1).

- (H3) For each fixed $(\omega, y, z) \in \Omega_T \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, $t \rightarrow g(t, \omega, y, z)$ and $t \rightarrow f(t, \omega, y, z)$ are continuous.
- (H4) For each fixed $(t, y, z) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, $g(t, y, z), f(t, y, z) \in L_G^\beta(\Omega_t)$ and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} (|g(u, y, z) - g(t, y, z)|^\beta + |f(u, y, z) - f(t, y, z)|^\beta) du \right] = 0.$$

- (H5) $K_t = \int_0^t \eta_s d\langle B \rangle_s - 2 \int_0^t G(\eta_s) ds$, where $\eta \in M_G^p(0, T)$, $p \geq 1$.
- (H6) For each $(t, \omega, y) \in [0, T] \times \Omega_T \times \mathbb{R}$, $g(t, \omega, y, 0) = f(t, \omega, y, 0) = 0$.

Assume that $\xi \in L_G^\beta(\Omega_T)$, g and f satisfy (H1) and (H2) for some $\beta > 1$. Let $(Y^{T, \xi}, Z^{T, \xi}, K^{T, \xi})$ be the solution of G -BSDE (1) corresponding to ξ, g and f on $[0, T]$. It is easy to check that $Y^{T, \xi} = Y^{T', \xi}$ on $[0, T]$ for $T' > T$. Following ([15]), we define a nonlinear expectation as

$$\mathcal{E}_{s, t}[\xi] = Y_s^{t, \xi} \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

Remark 2.1. In [15, 16] they both define the nonlinear expectation under the assumption (H1), (H2) and (H6), their consistent nonlinear expectation was defined by $\mathcal{E}_t[\xi] = Y_t^{T,\xi}$ for $t \in [0, T]$. As described by [15], under assumption (H6), the nonlinear expectation satisfies, for $T_1 < T_2$, $\mathcal{E}_{t,T_1}[\xi] = \mathcal{E}_{t,T_2}[\xi]$. Then the $\mathcal{E}_t[\xi] = \mathcal{E}_{t,T}[\xi] = Y_t^{T,\xi}$ notation is used.

The classical g -expectations possess many properties that are useful in finance and economics and became an important risk measure tool in financial mathematics under the complete market case. The nonlinear expectations derived by the G-BSDEs is a useful generalization of g -expectations defined on an incomplete market case.

3. MAIN RESULT

Definition 3.1. For $\xi \in \mathbb{L}_G^\infty(\Omega_s)$, $0 \leq t \leq s \leq T$, we define the function $h \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ be G -convex, if $\mathcal{E}_{t,s}[h(\xi)] \geq h[\mathcal{E}_{t,s}(\xi)]$.

Lemma 3.1. (see [14]). Let $\xi \in L_T^\beta(\Omega_T)$ and g, f satisfy (H1) and (H2) for some $\beta > 1$. Assume that (Y, Z, K) satisfies $(Y, Z) \in S_G^\alpha(0, T) \times H_G^\alpha(0, T; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and K is a decreasing G -martingale with $K_0 = 0$ and $K_T \in L_G^\alpha(\Omega_T)$ for some $1 < \alpha < \beta$ is a solution of (1). Then, there exists a constant $C_\alpha > 0$ depending on α, T, G and L such that

$$(1) \quad |Y_t|^\alpha \leq C_\alpha \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \left[|\xi|^\alpha + \left(\int_t^T |h_s^0| ds \right)^\alpha \right],$$

$$(2) \quad \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds \right)^{\alpha/2} \right] \leq C_\alpha \left\{ \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t|^\alpha \right] + \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t|^\alpha \right] \right)^{1/2} \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^T |h_s^0| ds \right)^\alpha \right] \right)^{1/2} \right\},$$

where $h_s^0 = |g(s, 0, 0)| + |f(s, 0, 0)|$.

Lemma 3.2. (see [14, 11]) Let $\alpha \geq 1$ and $\delta > 0$ be fixed. Then, there exists a constant C depending on α and δ such that

$$(3) \quad \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t[|\xi|^\alpha] \right] \leq C \left\{ \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\xi|^{\alpha+\delta}] \right)^{\alpha/(\alpha+\delta)} + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\xi|^{\alpha+\delta}] \right\},$$

$\forall \xi \in L_G^{\alpha+\delta}(\Omega_T)$.

Following Theorem 12 in [16], we have the following representation.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose (H1)-(H4) satisfied. Take a polynomial growth function $\Phi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$, $s \in [t, t + \epsilon]$.

$$Y_s = \Phi(B_{t+\epsilon} - B_t) + \int_s^{t+\epsilon} g(r, Y_r, Z_r) dr + \int_s^{t+\epsilon} f(r, Y_r, Z_r) d\langle B \rangle_r - \int_s^{t+\epsilon} Z_r dB_r - (K_{t+\epsilon} - K_s).$$

Then

$$(4) \quad L_G^2 - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{t,t+\epsilon}[\Phi(B_{t+\epsilon} - B_t)] - \Phi(0)}{\epsilon} = g(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) + 2G(f(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) + \frac{1}{2}\Phi''(0)).$$

Proof: Let $\tilde{Y}_s = Y_s - \Phi(B_s - B_t)$, then $\tilde{Y}_t = Y_t - \Phi(0)$ and $\tilde{Y}_{t+\epsilon} = Y_{t+\epsilon} - \Phi(B_{t+\epsilon} - B_t) = 0$ hold.

By using Ito's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
-d\tilde{Y}_s &= -dY_s + d\Phi(B_s - B_t) \\
&= g(s, Y_s, Z_s)ds + f(s, Y_s, Z_s)d\langle B \rangle_s - Z_s dB_s - dK_s \\
&\quad + \Phi'(B_s - B_t)dB_s + \frac{1}{2}\Phi''(B_s - B_t)d\langle B \rangle_s \\
\tilde{Y}_s &= 0 + \int_s^{t+\epsilon} g(r, Y_r, Z_r)dr + \int_s^{t+\epsilon} (f(r, Y_r, Z_r) + \frac{1}{2}\Phi''(B_r - B_t))d\langle B \rangle_r \\
&\quad - \int_s^{t+\epsilon} (Z_r - \Phi'(B_r - B_t))dB_r - (K_{t+\epsilon} - K_s).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{Z}_s = Z_s - \Phi'(B_s - B_t)$ and $\tilde{K}_s = K_s$. Then $(\tilde{Y}_s, \tilde{Z}_s, \tilde{K}_s)$ satisfies the G-BSDE:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Y}_s &= 0 + \int_s^{t+\epsilon} g(r, \tilde{Y}_r + \Phi(B_r - B_t), \tilde{Z}_r + \Phi'(B_r - B_t))dr \\
&\quad + \int_s^{t+\epsilon} (f(r, \tilde{Y}_r + \Phi(B_r - B_t), \tilde{Z}_r + \Phi'(B_r - B_t)) + \frac{1}{2}\Phi''(B_r - B_t))d\langle B \rangle_r \\
&\quad - \int_s^{t+\epsilon} \tilde{Z}_r dB_r - (\tilde{K}_{t+\epsilon} - K_s).
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{Y}_s^\epsilon|^\alpha &\leq C_\alpha \hat{\mathbb{E}}_s \left[\left(\int_s^{t+\epsilon} (|g(r, \Phi(B_r - B_t), \Phi'(B_r - B_t))| \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + |f(r, \Phi(B_r - B_t), \Phi'(B_r - B_t))| + \frac{1}{2}|\Phi''(B_r - B_t)|)dr \right)^\alpha \right], \\
\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_t^{t+\epsilon} |\tilde{Z}_r^\epsilon|^2 dr \right)^{\alpha/2} \right] &\leq C_\alpha \left\{ \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_t^{t+\epsilon} (|g(r, \Phi(B_r - B_t), \Phi'(B_r - B_t))| + \frac{1}{2}|\Phi''(B_r - B_t)| \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + |f(r, \Phi(B_r - B_t), \Phi'(B_r - B_t))|)dr \right)^\alpha \right] + \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{s \in [t, t+\epsilon]} |\tilde{Y}_s^\epsilon|^\alpha \right] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

hold for some constant $C_\alpha > 0$, only depending on α, T, G and L .

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_t^{t+\epsilon} \left(|g(r, 0, 0)|^\beta + |f(r, 0, 0)|^\beta \right) dr &\leq 2^{\beta-1} \left\{ \epsilon \left(|g(t, 0, 0)|^\beta + |f(t, 0, 0)|^\beta \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \left(|g(r, 0, 0) - g(t, 0, 0)|^\beta + |f(r, 0, 0) - f(t, 0, 0)|^\beta \right) dr \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Together with Lemma 3.2 and assumption (H4), we get

$$(5) \quad \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{s \in [t, t+\epsilon]} |\tilde{Y}_s^\epsilon|^\alpha + \left(\int_t^{t+\epsilon} |\tilde{Z}_r^\epsilon|^2 \right)^{\alpha/2} \right] \leq C_3 \epsilon^\alpha,$$

where C_3 depends on $x, y, p, \alpha, \beta, T, G$ and L .

Now we prove (4). Dividing ϵ , take conditional G-expectations and take limits on both sides of the equation in L_G^2 norm, then $\forall \Phi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\tilde{Y}_t}{\epsilon} &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \hat{E}_t[\tilde{Y}_t + (\tilde{K}_{t+\epsilon} - \tilde{K}_t)] \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \left[\int_t^{t+\epsilon} g(r, \tilde{Y}_r + \Phi(B_r - B_t), \tilde{Z}_r + \Phi'(B_r - B_t)) dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \left(f(r, \tilde{Y}_r + \Phi(B_r - B_t), \tilde{Z}_r + \Phi'(B_r - B_t)) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi''(B_r - B_t) \right) d\langle B \rangle_r \right] \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_t^{t+\epsilon} g(r, \Phi(B_r - B_t), \Phi'(B_r - B_t)) dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \left(f(r, \Phi(B_r - B_t), \Phi'(B_r - B_t)) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi''(B_r - B_t) \right) d\langle B \rangle_r \right] + L_\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_\epsilon &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\{ \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_t^{t+\epsilon} g(r, \tilde{Y}_r + \Phi(B_r - B_t), \tilde{Z}_r + \Phi'(B_r - B_t)) dr \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \left(f(r, \tilde{Y}_r + \Phi(B_r - B_t), \tilde{Z}_r + \Phi'(B_r - B_t)) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi''(B_r - B_t) \right) d\langle B \rangle_r \right] \\ &\quad - \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_t^{t+\epsilon} g(r, \Phi(B_r - B_t), \Phi'(B_r - B_t)) dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \left(f(r, \Phi(B_r - B_t), \Phi'(B_r - B_t)) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi''(B_r - B_t) \right) d\langle B \rangle_r \right] \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

It can be verified that $|L_\epsilon| \leq (C_4/\epsilon) \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\int_t^{t+\epsilon} (|\tilde{Y}_r| + |\tilde{Z}_r|) dr]$, where C_4 depends on G, L and T . By (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|L_\epsilon|^\alpha] &\leq \frac{C_4^\alpha}{\epsilon^\alpha} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_t^{t+\epsilon} (|\tilde{Y}_r| + |\tilde{Z}_r|) dr \right)^\alpha \right] \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\alpha-1} C_4^\alpha}{\epsilon^\alpha} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_t^{t+\epsilon} |\tilde{Y}_r| dr \right)^\alpha + \left(\int_t^{t+\epsilon} |\tilde{Z}_r| dr \right)^\alpha \right] \\ &\leq 2^{\alpha-1} C_4^\alpha \left\{ \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{s \in [t, t+\epsilon]} |\tilde{Y}_s|^\alpha \right] + \epsilon^{-\alpha/2} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_t^{t+\epsilon} |\tilde{Z}_r|^2 dr \right)^{\alpha/2} \right] \right\} \\ &\leq 2^{\alpha-1} C_4^\alpha C_3 (\epsilon^\alpha + \epsilon^{\alpha/2}), \end{aligned}$$

then $L_G^\alpha - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} L_\epsilon = 0$.

We set

$$\begin{aligned} M_\epsilon &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\{ \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \left[\int_t^{t+\epsilon} g(r, \Phi(B_r - B_t), \Phi'(B_r - B_t)) dr \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \left(f(r, \Phi(B_r - B_t), \Phi'(B_r - B_t)) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi''(B_r - B_t) \right) d\langle B \rangle_r \right] \\ &\quad \left. - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \left[\int_t^{t+\epsilon} g(r, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) dr + \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \left(f(r, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi''(0) \right) d\langle B \rangle_r \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

By the Lipschitz condition of function g and f , and the polynomial growth of $\Phi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have $L_G^\alpha - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} M_\epsilon = 0$.

Further we set

$$N_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left\{ \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \left[\int_t^{t+\epsilon} g(r, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) dr + \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \left(f(r, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi''(0) \right) d\langle B \rangle_r \right] \right. \\ \left. - \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \left[\int_t^{t+\epsilon} g(r, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) dr + \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \left(f(r, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi''(0) \right) d\langle B \rangle_r \right] \right\}$$

You can check that

$$|N_\epsilon| \leq (C_7/\epsilon) \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t \left[\int_t^{t+\epsilon} (|g(r, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) - g(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0))| + |f(r, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) - f(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0))|)^\alpha dr \right],$$

where C_7 depends on G . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|N_\epsilon|^\alpha] &\leq C_7^\alpha \frac{1}{\epsilon} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_t^{t+\epsilon} (|g(r, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) - g(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0))| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |f(r, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) - f(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0))|)^\alpha dr \right] \\ &\leq C_7^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_t^{t+\epsilon} (|g(r, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) - g(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0))| \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + |f(r, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) - f(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0))|)^\beta dr \right] \right)^{\alpha/\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Take limits from both sides of the above inequality and use assumption (H4), then we have

$$L_G^\alpha - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} N_\epsilon = 0.$$

At the same time,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_t^{t+\epsilon} g(r, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) dr + \int_t^{t+\epsilon} \left(f(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi''(0) \right) d\langle B \rangle_r \right] \\ = g(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) \epsilon + \hat{\mathbb{E}}_t [f(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) (\langle B \rangle_{t+\epsilon} - \langle B \rangle_t)] \\ = \left[g(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) + 2G \left(f(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi''(0) \right) \right] \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_G^\alpha - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\tilde{Y}_t}{\epsilon} &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \{Y_t - \Phi(0)\} \\ &= g(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) + 2G \left(f(t, \Phi(0), \Phi'(0)) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi''(0) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose (H1)-(H4) satisfied. Take a function $h \in C^2$, $\phi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ is polynomial growth function and $h(\phi) \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then h is a G -convex function that is equivalent with

$$(6) \quad g(t, h(y), h'(y)z) + 2G(f(t, h(y), h'(y)z) + \frac{1}{2}h''(y)z^2 + \frac{1}{2}h'(y)A) \geq \\ h'(y)g(t, y, z) + 2h'(y)G(f(t, y, z) + \frac{1}{2}A), \quad \text{for all } y, z \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } A \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof: Necessary condition:

Take a function $h \in C^2$ and $\phi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$, with $H(\phi) \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$. By Lemma 3.3 we have

$$(7) \quad L_G^2 - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{t,t+\epsilon}[h(\phi(B_{t+\epsilon} - B_t))] - h(\phi(0))}{\epsilon} = g(t, h(\phi(0)), h'(\phi(0))\phi'(0)) \\ + 2G\left(f(t, h(\phi(0)), h'(\phi(0))\phi'(0)) + \frac{1}{2}h''(\phi(0))(\phi'(0))^2 + \frac{1}{2}h'(\phi(0))\phi''(0)\right)$$

and

$$(8) \quad L_G^2 - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{t,t+\epsilon}[\phi(B_{t+\epsilon} - B_t)] - \phi(0)}{\epsilon} \\ = g(t, \phi(0), \phi'(0)) + 2G(f(t, \phi(0), \phi'(0)) + \frac{1}{2}\phi''(0)).$$

Based on (8), we have

$$(9) \quad L_G^2 - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{h(\mathcal{E}_{t,t+\epsilon}[\phi(B_{t+\epsilon} - B_t)]) - h(\phi(0))}{\epsilon} \\ = h'(\phi(0)) \left(g(t, \phi(0), \phi'(0)) + 2G(f(t, \phi(0), \phi'(0)) + \frac{1}{2}\phi''(0)) \right).$$

If h is a G-convex function, from Definition 3.1, h satisfies $\mathcal{E}_{t,t+\epsilon}[h(\phi(B_{t+\epsilon} - B_t))] \geq h\{\mathcal{E}_{t,t+\epsilon}[\phi(B_{t+\epsilon} - B_t)]\}$. From (7) and (9) we have

$$g(t, h(\phi(0)), h'(\phi(0))\phi'(0)) + 2G\left(f(t, h(\phi(0)), h'(\phi(0))\phi'(0)) + \frac{1}{2}h''(\phi(0))(\phi'(0))^2 + \frac{1}{2}h'(\phi(0))\phi''(0)\right) \\ \geq h'(\phi(0)) \left(g(t, \phi(0), \phi'(0)) + 2G(f(t, \phi(0), \phi'(0)) + \frac{1}{2}\phi''(0)) \right)$$

Where $(\phi(0), \phi'(0), \phi''(0))$ are arbitrary values in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then we get (6).

Sufficient condition:

Following a series of work of Soner, Touzi and Zhang [10], Song [11, 12], the work of Peng, Song and Zhang [13] proved a representation theorem of G-martingales in a complete subspace of $L_G^\alpha(\Omega_T)$ ($\alpha \geq 1$). They proved the decomposition of G-martingale of $\hat{\mathbb{E}}_t[\xi]$ can be uniquely represented $K_t = \int_0^t \eta_s d\langle B \rangle_s - \int_0^t 2G(\eta_s)ds$. And then use the similar Picard approximation approach used in [14] we can get the corresponding theorem as Theorem 3.1 for a normal decreasing martingale with $K_0 = 0$ and $K_T \in \mathbb{L}^\alpha(\Omega_T)$.

Take $\xi \in L_G^\infty(\phi(B_t))$, we need to prove

$$\mathcal{E}_{s,t}[h(\xi)] \geq h[\mathcal{E}_{s,t}(\xi)].$$

Take

$$Y_u = \xi + \int_u^t g(r, Y_r, Z_r)dr + \int_u^t f(r, Y_r, Z_r)d\langle B \rangle_r - \int_u^t Z_r dB_r - (K_t - K_u).$$

Applying Ito's formula,

$$-dh(Y_r) = h'(Y_r) [g(r, Y_r, Z_r)dr + f(r, Y_r, Z_r)d\langle B \rangle_r - Z_r dB_r - dK_r] - \frac{1}{2}h''(Y_r)|Z_r|^2 d\langle B \rangle_r,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
h(Y_u) &= h(\xi) + \int_u^t h'(Y_r)g(r, Y_r, Z_r)dr + \int_u^t \left[h'(Y_r)f(r, Y_r, Z_r) - \frac{1}{2}h''(Y_r)|Z_r|^2 \right] d\langle B \rangle_r \\
&\quad - \int_u^t h'(Y_r)Z_r dB_r - \int_u^t h'(Y_r)dK_r \\
&= h(\xi) + \int_u^t g(r, h(Y_r), h'(Y_r)Z_r)dr + \int_u^t f(r, h(Y_r), h'(Y_r)Z_r)d\langle B \rangle_r - \int_u^t h'(Y_r)Z_r dB_r \\
&\quad + \int_u^t (h'(Y_r)g(r, Y_r, Z_r) - g(r, h(Y_r), h'(Y_r)Z_r)) dr \\
&\quad + \int_u^t \left(h'(Y_r)f(r, Y_r, Z_r) - \frac{1}{2}h''(Y_r)|Z_r|^2 - f(r, h(Y_r), h'(Y_r)Z_r) \right) d\langle B \rangle_r - \int_u^t h'(Y_r)dK_r.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the decreasing process K_r , G-martingale, satisfies (H5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&= h(\xi) + \int_u^t g(r, h(Y_r), h'(Y_r)Z_r)dr + \int_u^t f(r, h(Y_r), h'(Y_r)Z_r)d\langle B \rangle_r - \int_u^t h'(Y_r)Z_r dB_r \\
&\quad + \int_u^t [h'(Y_r)g(r, Y_r, Z_r) - g(r, h(Y_r), h'(Y_r)Z_r) + 2h'(Y_r)G(\eta_r)] dr \\
&\quad - \int_u^t \left[-h'(Y_r)f(r, Y_r, Z_r) + \frac{1}{2}h''(Y_r)|Z_r|^2 + f(r, h(Y_r), h'(Y_r)Z_r) + h'(Y_r)\eta_r \right] d\langle B \rangle_r \\
&= h(\xi) + \int_u^t g(r, h(Y_r), h'(Y_r)Z_r)dr + \int_u^t f(r, h(Y_r), h'(Y_r)Z_r)d\langle B \rangle_r - \int_u^t h'(Y_r)Z_r dB_r \\
&\quad + \int_u^t [h'(Y_r)g(r, Y_r, Z_r) - g(r, h(Y_r), h'(Y_r)Z_r) + 2h'(Y_r)G(\eta_r) \\
&\quad - 2G \left(f(r, h(Y_r), h'(Y_r)Z_r) + \frac{1}{2}h''(Y_r)|Z_r|^2 + h'(Y_r)(\eta_r - f(r, Y_r, Z_r)) \right)] dr - (\tilde{K}_t - \tilde{K}_u),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{K}_t &= - \left\{ \int_0^t \left[f(r, h(Y_r), h'(Y_r)Z_r) + \frac{1}{2}h''(Y_r)|Z_r|^2 + h'(Y_r)(\eta_r - f(r, Y_r, Z_r)) \right] d\langle B \rangle_r \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \int_0^t G \left[f(r, h(Y_r), h'(Y_r)Z_r) + \frac{1}{2}h''(Y_r)|Z_r|^2 + h'(Y_r)(\eta_r - f(r, Y_r, Z_r)) \right] dr \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

is a decreasing G-martingale. Denote $\tilde{Y}_u = h(Y_u)$ and $\tilde{Z}_u = h'(Y_u)Z_u$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(10) \quad \tilde{Y}_u &= h(\xi) + \int_u^t g(r, \tilde{Y}_r, \tilde{Z}_r)dr + \int_u^t f(r, \tilde{Y}_r, \tilde{Z}_r)d\langle B \rangle_r - \int_u^t \tilde{Z}_r dB_r \\
&\quad + \int_u^t [h'(Y_r)g(r, Y_r, Z_r) - g(r, \tilde{Y}_r, \tilde{Z}_r) + 2h'(Y_r)G(\eta_r) \\
&\quad - 2G \left(f(r, \tilde{Y}_r, \tilde{Z}_r) + \frac{1}{2}h''(Y_r)|Z_r|^2 + h'(Y_r)(\eta_r - f(r, Y_r, Z_r)) \right)] dr - (\tilde{K}_t - \tilde{K}_u),
\end{aligned}$$

we know from the inequality (6) that the fourth integral is less or equal to 0.

On the other hand, $\mathcal{E}_{s,t}[h(\xi)]$ is the solution of the following G-BSDE,

$$(11) \quad \bar{Y}_u = h(\xi) + \int_u^t g(r, \bar{Y}_r, \bar{Z}_r)dr + \int_u^t f(r, \bar{Y}_r, \bar{Z}_r)d\langle B \rangle_r - \int_u^t \bar{Z}_r dB_r - (\bar{K}_t - \bar{K}_u)$$

Applying the comparison theorem of G-BSDEs [15], we have

$$\tilde{Y}_u \leq \bar{Y}_u.$$

Since $\tilde{Y}_u = h(Y_u) = h(\mathcal{E}_{u,t}[\xi])$ and $\bar{Y}_u = \mathcal{E}_{u,t}[h(\xi)]$, then

$$\mathcal{E}_{u,t}[h(\xi)] \geq h(\mathcal{E}_{u,t}[\xi]).$$

Remark 3.1. *In this paper, we covered how G-Brownian motion is 1-dimensional case. In fact, the n-dimensional case is also satisfied. The proof does not have any great difference.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Chen, Z., Reg, K. and Jiang, L. (2003) Jensens inequality for g-expectation: part 1, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 337, 725C730.
- [2] Chen, Z., Reg, K. and Jiang, L. (2003) Jensens inequality for g-expectation: Part 2, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 337, 797C800.
- [3] Jiang, L. (2006) Jensens Inequality for Backward Stochastic Differential Equations, Chin. Ann. Math. 27B(5), 553C564.
- [4] Jia, G. and Peng, S. (2010) Jensens inequality for g-convex function under g-expectation, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 147, 217C239.
- [5] Peng, S. (2005) Nonlinear expectations and nonlinear Markov chains, Chin. Ann. Math. 26B(2) 159C184.
- [6] Peng, S.(2007) G-expectation, G-Brownian Motion and Related Stochastic Calculus of Ito type, Stochastic analysis and applications, 541-567, Abel Symp., 2, Springer, Berlin.24
- [7] Peng, S.(2008) Multi-Dimensional G-Brownian Motion and Related Stochastic Calculus under G-Expectation, Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 118(12): 2223-2253.
- [8] Peng, S.(2008) A New Central Limit Theorem under Sublinear Expectations, arXiv:0803.2656v1 [math.PR].
- [9] Peng, S.(2010) Nonlinear Expectations and Stochastic Calculus under Uncertainty, arXiv:1002.4546v1 [math.PR].
- [10] Soner, M., Touzi, N. and Zhang, J. (2011) Martingale Representation Theorem under G-expectation, Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 121: 265-287.
- [11] Song, Y. (2011) Some properties on G-evaluation and its applications to G-martingale decomposition. Science China Mathematics, 54(2): 287-300.
- [12] Song, Y.(2012) Uniqueness of the representation for G-martingales with finite variation, Electron. J. Probab. 17(24): 1-15.
- [13] Peng, S., Song, Y. and Zhang, J.(2014) A Complete Representation Theorem for G-martingales, Stochastics An International Journal of Probability and Stochastic Processes: formerly Stochastics and Stochastics Reports, 86(4): 609-631.
- [14] Hu, M., Ji, S., Peng, S. and Song, Y. (2012) Backward Stochastic Differential Equations Driven by G-Brownian Motion, arXiv:1206.5889v1 [math.PR].
- [15] Hu, M., Ji, S., Peng, S. and Song, Y. (2012) Comparison Theorem, Feynman-Kac Formula and Girsanov Transformation for BSDEs Driven by G-Brownian Motion, arXiv:1212.5403v1 [math.PR].
- [16] He, K. and Hu, M. (2013) Representation Theorem for Generators of BSDEs Driven by G-Brownian Motion and Its Applications, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Volume 2013: Article ID 342038, 10 pages.